#### THE LINGUISTIC LINKED OPEN DATA THROUGH THE LINGUISTS' LENS

**Data Quality meets Machine Learning ad Knowledge Graphs** 

Pasquale Esposito, PhD student in Linguistics - 26 May 2024



#### UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO



# Introduction to LLOD

linked data (LD) principles.

- ·Linguistic Linked Open Data (LLOD) is an initiative to create a web of interlinked linguistic resources that are openly accessible and machinereadable.
- Importance in Research: LLOD supports linguistic research by providing structured data that facilitates enrichment metadata dictionary generation and more.
- Relevance to AI: LLOD plays a crucial role in adher enhancing AI applications particularly in Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks.



# Linguistic Resources for Language Description

**Corpora:** Collections of written or spoken texts used for linguistic research.

Lexicons and Dictionaries: Structured lists of words with meanings usage and other linguistic information.

Terminologies and Thesauri: Sets of terms and relationships within specific domains.

Knowledge Bases and Metadata: Databases of information and data categories used to structure and describe linguistic data.

Typological Databases: Repositories of data on language typologies and characteristics across languages.

their



### Efforts to support linguistic side of data

- •Convenient systems and directives for linguistic research with efforth which have been focused on creating systems and directives that facilitate linguistic research
- **Generation:** Creating dictionaries from Dictionary encyclopedic knowledge to support linguistic research Sasi-. seudonym. Izengoiti, izenor Psikoanalisi. •Metadata enrichment from encyclopedic knowledge Psikologo.



#### **Machine-Readable Data and Applications in Al**

- •Large Language Models (LLMs): Utilizing machine-readable data to enhance LLMs and word embeddings.
- •Word-Sense Disambiguation: Improving the accuracy of wordsense disambiguation in NLP tasks.
- •AI and NLP representation personal knowledge graph representation and crosslanguage linking.
- Machine-readable and exploitable data: Data is kept machinereadable for tasks such as LLMs improvement word embeddings and more.

MACHTNE LEARNING **Applications:** Applications include meaning

Photo by Markus Winkler on Unsplash



# Do LLOD represent (all) expected features of a traditional lexicographic resource?

### Linguists' expectations from LLOD's lexicons

Entry Form Definition Senses Phonetic Transcription Morphological pattern Domain label Different usages Register label Style label

Relevance Animacy Aspect Case Clitic Definiteness degree Finiteness Gender Number Modification type Part of speech Person Tense



#### Introduction to OntoLex Lemon

#### **OntoLex Lemon (or simply OntoLex)** is a model designed for representing lexical information in the Semantic Web and within Linked Data frameworks.

by the W3C Ontology-Lexica Community Group.

It is especially tailored to handle multilingual and semantic lexical data effectively. The model is developed and maintained

### **OntoLex-Lemon**

#### **CORE MODULE**

**LexicalEntry**: Represents individual words or multi-word expressions.

**Lexicon**: A container for a set of LexicalEntry objects, typically defined for a specific language or domain.

#### Semantic Linking:

**LexicalSense**: Connects LexicalEntry objects to their meanings, linking them to concepts defined in ontologies, thus facilitating a deeper semantic integration.

#### Morphological and Syntactic Representation:

Addresses the representation of morphological forms and syntactic behaviors of lexical entries, allowing for a comprehensive description of language elements.

#### • Multilinguality:

Supports the representation of translations and multilingual lexicalizations, making it suitable for applications like multilingual dictionaries and semantic translation tools.



https://www.w3.org/2016/05/ontolex/

### **Additional Modules in OntoLex Lemon**

OntoLex-Lemon: Core module

OntoLex-SynSem: for Syntax and Semantics

OntoLex-Decomp: for Decomposition

OntoLex-VarTrans: for Variation and Translation

OntoLex-LiMe: for Linguistic Metadata

OntoLex-Lexicog: module for Lexicography

OntoLex-Morph: for Morphology

OntoLex-FrAC: emerging OntoLex module for Frequency, Attestation and Corpus-Based Information



### Linguists' expecations from LLOD's lexicons

✓ Entry ✓ Form ✓ Definition ✓ Senses Phonetic transcription Morphological pattern × Domain label ✓ Different usages × Register label X Style label

- $\times$  Relevance
- $\times$  Animacy
- ✓ Aspect
- ✓ Case
- $\times$  Clitic
- $\times$  Definiteness degree
- × Finiteness
- ✓ Gender
- ✓ Number
- Modification type
- ✓ Part of speech
- ✓ Person
- ✓ Tense

### **Formality in speech**

In linguistics, "formality" refers to the degree to which language, vocabulary, and expressions conform to established or conventional standards that are typically associated with more serious, professional, or polite contexts.

#### **Key Aspects of Formality in Linguistics:**

- **Vocabulary Selection**
- Sentence Structure
- Tone and Politeness
- Purpose and Function
- Register



### How missing features can be represented?

**Annotations**: Register can be represented using annotations. Lexical entries can be annotated with specific properties that describe the register, such as "formal," "informal," "technical," or "colloquial.»

Custom Extensions: Given the modular nature of OntoLex, developers can create custom extensions to the model to explicitly handle register as a distinct lexical feature

**Use of Existing Modules**: existing modules like the Lexico-Semantic Module or the Variants Module might be adapted to include aspects of register.

Perception of formality psychologically determined and cannot be considered a static picture as the other lexicographic features. Reporting formality for computational aims cannot be limited to single labels.



### **Calculating text-formality**

First attempt to apply a computational measure to large corpora of linguistic data, without requiring specific rules for handling all possible subtleties or exceptions of the particular language or situation.

The formula should be capable to unambiguously distinguish discourses that are considered formal from those that are considered informal.

noun frequency + adjective frequency + preposition frequency + article frequency pronoun frequency – verb frequency – adverb frequency – interjection frequency + 100 F [formality measure] =



2

(Heylighen and Dewaele, 1999)





### **Computational scheme formality weights**

#### *"formal" categories*

	Nouns	Articles	Prepos.	Adject.
Oral Female	10.40	6.89	5.86	8.09
Oral N.Acad.	12.75	8.50	6.34	6.71
Oral Male	11.48	8.16	6.69	7.63
Oral Acad.	13.16	9.58	7.91	7.13
Novels	18.52	10.48	10.26	10.00
Fam. Magaz.	21.78	9.77	12.21	11.14
Magazines	24.20	11.61	13.90	10.93
Scientific	23.10	15.00	13.75	10.75
Newspapers	25.97	14.68	14.54	10.57

*"deictic" categories* 

Pronouns	Verbs	Adverbs	Conjun.	Formality
16.95	19.35	17.45	7.47	38.7
16.01	18.80	19.31	6.34	40.1
15.84	18.45	16.53	7.05	41.6
13.96	17.75	17.88	7.13	44.1
13.25	20.62	10.47	6.06	52.5
10.09	18.71	9.74	6.39	58.2
8.55	17.68	8.73	4.34	62.8
6.71	16.58	7.98	5.98	65.7
5.62	16.69	7.21	4.70	68.1

(Heylighen and Dewaele, 1999)

### How Formality is managed in Distributional Semantics Models:

Learning from Variable Contexts: models learn nuances of formality by observing how words are used in different contexts. For example, the word "calculate" might frequently appear in more formal contexts, while "figure out" might be more common in informal settings.

**Training on Heterogeneous Corpora**: models need to be trained on a text corpus that includes a wide range of writing styles and registers.

**Model Adaptability**: Advanced models use fine-tuning techniques to better adapt to specific levels of formality.

Use in Practical Applications: In applications like automatic translation or automated response systems, a model's ability to adjust formality is crucial. This ensures that the generated responses are not only semantically correct but also stylistically appropriate to the context.

-60

-40

-20

TSNE 1

20

40



60

Science fiction

### **Register Labels in Traditional OR Synset-based resources**

#### Synset based resources:

- Synset is monolingual and aggregate synonyms in a single "node"
- Words are denotationally equivalent and can be substituted for one another in many, but not all, contexts

#### **Results:**

- Formality relevance measures are absent also for lexical units in the synset-based.
- We detect sensitivity towards regional variation in OEW.

#### *Non-synset resources:*

•Wiktionary embraces and explicitly reports the linguistic labels for domain and style and register, providing the related and expected information for the lexeme.

•DBnary is the ontology-based representation of Wiktionary modeled according to a modified version of the OntoLex model. •Conversely from Wiktionary, DBnary does not report registers or domain labels.

### Non synset and machine learning/embeddings dependent

- ConceptNet is generated on an embedding-based structure a hybrid framework between distributional semantics and relational knowledge
- lexical description does not foresee any formality relevance measure.

### WordNet **Open English Wordnet**



**Wiktionary DBnary** 

ConceptNet

### **Benefits for Al**

 The Semantic Web community might take advantage of manually annotated and linguistic-validated corpora to include formality weights and model it as valuable data

• The LLMs could take advantage of pure natural language processing operations and implement the linguistics side with data management and AI principles in order to offer systems that can interact and automatically mirror formality in language in a human-like way





### Conclusions

reference to analyze and then compute the authentic reproduction of speech

automatic Al-driven applications

• The common ground between linguistics, Natural Language Processing, Semantic Web, and AI could result in the usage of corpora as a qualitative and quantitative

• A weighted and precise description of formality relevance for LLOD satisfies **linguistic expectations** and produce a wide range of benefits in several (semi-)

• We suggest a hybrid corpus-based/crowd-sourced approach to detect formality weights for lexicons of different languages as a starting point towards the integration of computational formality measures to linguistics resources of the LLOD cloud







### Thank you very much for your attention!

pasesposito@unisa.it



### References



